Notice of Removal of Action Under 28 U.S.C. § 1441(b) (Federal Question)

Document 2

Filed 10/12/2007

Page 1 of 5

Case 3:07-cv-05227-EMC

		Case 3:07-cv-05227-EMC Document 2	Filed 10/12/2007 Page 2 of 5					
	+							
•								
	1	STEPHANIE B. BRADSHAW (SBN: 170757) ALYSON S. CABRERA (SBN: 222717)						
	2	GORDON & REES LLP 275 Battery Street, Suite 2000						
	3	San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: (415) 986-5900						
	4	Facsimile: (415) 986-8054						
	5	Attorneys for Defendants MERRITT COLLEGE						
,	6	and SHIRLEY MACK						
	$I_7 \parallel$	EXEMPT FROM FILING FEES						
	8	(GOV. CODE § 6103)						
	9	SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA - COUNTY OF ALAMEDA						
	10	HAYWARD BRANCH						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	11							
2000	12	ELIZABETH SANTOS	) CASE NO. HG 07 335828					
Suite 2	13	Plaintiff,	NOTICE TO STATE COURT AND     ADVERSE PARTY OF FILING OF					
	14	vs.	<ul><li>NOTICE OF REMOVAL TO FEDERAL</li><li>COURT</li></ul>					
Gordon & Rees LLF Battery Street, Suite n Francisco, CA 941	15	MERRITT COLLEGE, SHIRLEY MACK, an individual and DOES 1-10, inclusive	}					
	16	Defendants.	}					
275 S.	17							
	18	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that, on or about October 12, 2007, defendants MERRITT						
	19	COLLEGE and SHIRLEY MACK filed their Notice of Removal to Federal Court Pursuant to 28						
•	20	U.S.C. § 1441(b) ("Notice of Removal") in the Office of the Clerk of the United States District						
	21	Court for the Northern District of California. A copy of the Notice of Removal, without exhibits,						
	22	is attached.						
	23	Dated: October 9, 2007	GORDON & REES LLP					
	24							
	25		By: Alm (u					
	26		Alyson Caorera Attorneys for Defendants					
	27		MERRITT COLLEGE and SHIRLEY MACK					
	28							
CI/1046743/51428I			-1-					
		11	-1-					

Motice to State Court and Adverse Party of Removal \_ Case No. HG 07 335878

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

4

5

7

81

provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 1441(b) in that it arises under "42 U.S.C. § 1981.19." This Court has supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff's state law claims, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a), as these claims appear to arise out of the same case or controversy.

- All Defendants in this action consent to this Notice of Removal. 4.
- A copy of this Notice of Removal, without exhibits, is being filed with the Clerk 5. of the Superior Court of the State of California in and for the County of Alameda.
- A copy of this Notice of Removal, without exhibits, is also being served on plaintiff.

Dated: October .2007

GORDON & REES LLP

By:

Alyson Calfrera Attorneys for Defendants

MERRITT COLLEGE and SHIRLEY

MACK

	11	Case 3:07-cv-05227-EMC	Document 2	Filed 10/12/2007	Page 5 of	5			
•	,					,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			
Gordon & Rees LLP 275 Battery Street, Suite 2000 San Francisco, CA 94111					•				
	1	PROOF OF SERVICE							
	2	I am a resident of the State of California, over the age of eighteen years, and not a party to the within action. My business address is: Gordon & Rees LLP 275 Battery Street, Suite 2000, San Francisco, CA 94111. On October 12, 2007, I served the within documents:  Notice to State Court and Adverse Party of Filing of Notice of Removal to Federal Court  by transmitting via facsimile the document(s) listed above to the fax number(s) set forth below on this date before 5:00 p.m.							
	4								
	5								
	7	by personally delivering t address(es) set forth below	he document(s) li	sted above to the perso	on(s) at the				
	8 9	fully prepaid, in United States mail in the State of California at San Francisco,							
		addressed as set forth belo	ow.			·			
	10	Kjell C. Bomark-Noe	<b>l</b> .		, •				
	11	Law Offices of Boma 1124 East 14 <sup>th</sup> Street,	Suite B						
	12	San Leandro, CA 945	77	:					
	13	I am readily familiar wi	th the firm's prac	tice of collection and i	orocessing cor	rrespondence			
	14 15	I am readily familiar with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after the date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.							
	16								
	17	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.							
	18	Executed on October 12, 2007, at San Francisco, California.							
	19								
	20			- Vari	aith Harris	-045			
	21				-				
	22					1			
	23					·			
	24								
	25								
	26								
	27	Santos v. Merritt College, et a Alameda County Superior Co	l. urt Case No. HG	07 73358 <b>28</b>					
	28	Saparar of							
/10/467/23/51/4/33	<b>U</b> 	And the control of th							